



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,904	08/22/2003	Herbert Irschik	103832-510-NP	1332

24964 7590 02/06/2009
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
ATTN: PATENT ADMINISTRATOR
620 Eighth Avenue
NEW YORK, NY 10018

EXAMINER

QAZI, SABIHA NAIM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/646,904	Applicant(s) IRSCHIK ET AL.	
	Examiner Sabiha Qazi	Art Unit 1612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sabiha N. Qazi, Ph.D. (EXaminer). (3)_____.

(2) Q. Hong Xu (Attorney). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. XU called to discuss the allowability of claims and advisory action. Examiner discussed the issues in detail and told Ms Xu that the application was forwarded to the examiner at the time when technically 8 months period was over. Final rejection was mailed on 5/2/08. Advisory action contains inadvertant typing error and should not have been mailed due to the 8 month date. Examiner will talk to SPE and find out about this issue...

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sabiha Qazi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612	
--	--